MALAYSIA CDIO PRACTITIONERS CONFERENCE 2025 SPECIAL AWARDS

1A. BEST CDIO PROJECT AWARD (POLYCC)

Awarded to an outstanding CDIO-based project within PolyCC.

Can be applied as an individual and as a group.

Criteria:

- 1. **Innovativeness** Originality and creativity of the project's concept and solution.
- 2. **Relevance** Alignment with CDIO standards and relevance to current academic/industry needs.
- 3. **Implementation Quality** Effective application of CDIO stages (Conceive, Design, Implement, Operate).
- 4. **Multidisciplinary Integration / Integrated Curriculum** Evidence of cross-disciplinary collaboration.
- 5. **Impact** Tangible benefits to students, community, or industry partners.
- 6. **Sustainability** Potential for scalability, continuity, or adoption by others.
- 7. **Evidence of Student-Centered Learning** Extent to which students actively contributed and reflected on learning outcomes.

1B. RUBRIC - BEST CDIO PROJECT AWARD (POLYCC)

	Criterion	Weightage	1 – Poor	2 – Fair	3 – Good	4 – Very Good	5 – Excellent
1.	Innovativeness	2	No original elements	Minimal creativity	Some innovative aspects	Creative and original design	Highly creative; sets new benchmarks
2.	Relevance	2	Not aligned with CDIO	Limited relevance	Generally relevant	Strongly relevant to CDIO standards	Fully aligned and exemplary relevance
3.	Implementation Quality	3	Poor implementation	Some weaknesses	Satisfactory implementation	Well implemented	Excellent, seamless CDIO integration
4.	Multidisciplinary / Integrated Curriculum	4	No cross- disciplinary work / Integrated Curriculum	Minimal collaboration for multidisciplinary / Integrated Curriculum	Some evidence of collaboration for multidisciplinary / Integrated Curriculum	Strong multidisciplinary / Integrated Curriculum effort	Exemplary multidisciplinary / Integrated Curriculum
5.	Impact	3	No evident impact	Minimal impact	Moderate benefits observed	Significant positive impact	Outstanding impact; wide recognition
6.	Sustainability	3	No potential for continuity	Unlikely to sustain	Possibly sustainable	Likely to be sustained	Highly sustainable; scalable & replicable
7.	Student- Centered Learning	3	No student involvement	Minimal student input	Some student participation	Strong student engagement	Fully student- driven with excellent learning outcomes

1C. SUGGESTED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS - BEST CDIO PROJECT AWARD (POLYCC)

Criterion	Suggested Supporting Documents
1. Innovativeness	Photos/videos of the project showing creativity- Project report or summary highlighting unique aspects- Design sketches, concept notes
2. Relevance	Course/module outline showing alignment with CDIO- Minutes of project approval meeting- Memo or letters supporting relevance to CDIO objectives
3. Implementation Quality	Project timeline or Gantt chart- Samples of implemented materials (lesson plans, prototypes)- Student or supervisor feedback
4. Multidisciplinary / Integrated Curriculum	Meeting minutes showing collaboration between departments- Organisational chart of project team- Letters/emails documenting cross-faculty involvement
5. Impact	Testimonials from students/stakeholders- Photos of project outcomes/events- Media coverage or social media posts
6. Sustainability	Document showing plans for future use of project- Memos about embedding project into curriculum- Evidence of replication in other areas
7. Student-Centered Learning	Photos/videos of students leading project activities- Samples of student reflections or presentations- Attendance records of student workshops

Note: Other relevant supporting documents can be considered.

1D. TABLE OF SUMMARY TO BE SUBMITTED BY APPLICANT - BEST CDIO PROJECT AWARD (POLYCC)

Crite	rion	Explanation Based on the Project	List of Supporting Documents Attached
1. Innovativ	veness		
2. Relevano	ce		
3. Impleme Quality	ntation		
4. Multidisc Integrate Curricul	ed		
5. Impact			
6. Sustaina	bility		
7. Student- Learning			