

MALAYSIA CDIO PRACTITIONERS CONFERENCE 2025 SPECIAL AWARDS

5A. CDIO ADVOCATE AWARD (POLYCC)

Application from PolyCC lecturers or academic leaders in institution (example: Head of Programme, Head of Department, Academic Excellence Committee).

Criteria:

1. **Personal Contribution to CDIO** Demonstrated significant contributions to CDIO implementation at the institution or within POLYCC in driving CDIO initiatives
2. **Innovation in Pedagogy** – Adoption of novel teaching and learning strategies within CDIO framework.
3. **Mentoring & Sharing** – Support provided to peers and students in applying CDIO.
4. **Impact on PolyCC Community** – Changes or improvements driven by the advocate's efforts.
5. **Sustainability of Initiatives** – Long-term relevance and continuity of the advocate's contributions.
6. **Engagement with Wider CDIO Network** – Collaboration with external institutions or international CDIO forums.

5B. RUBRIC - CDIO ADVOCATE AWARD (POLYCC)

Criterion	Weightage	1 – Poor	2 – Fair	3 – Good	4 – Very Good	5 – Excellent
1. Personal Contribution to CDIO	3	No contribution to PolyCC CDIO initiatives; passive participation only.	Minimal contribution; involved in a few activities.	Moderate contribution; led small CDIO initiatives within PolyCC.	Strong contribution; led notable CDIO projects and initiatives.	Outstanding contribution; key driver of major CDIO initiatives at PolyCC level.
2. Innovation in Pedagogy	3	No innovative teaching or learning strategies applied within CDIO framework.	Minimal innovation; mostly traditional approaches.	Some innovation; adopted new teaching methods occasionally.	Strong innovation; regularly applies novel and effective pedagogical strategies.	Highly innovative pedagogy; transformed teaching practices and serves as a model for others.
3. Mentoring & Sharing	3	No mentoring or sharing of CDIO practices.	Minimal support provided to peers or students.	Some mentoring and sharing; moderate engagement in capacity building.	Strong mentoring and sharing; actively supports peers and students in applying CDIO.	Exemplary mentoring; builds a strong CDIO community through consistent and impactful sharing efforts.
4. Impact on PolyCC Community	4	No visible impact on the institution or peers from	Minimal impact; contributions known only to a small group.	Moderate impact; some positive changes evident in	Strong impact; evident improvements in PolyCC	Transformative impact; advocate's efforts brought

Criterion	Weightage	1 – Poor	2 – Fair	3 – Good	4 – Very Good	5 – Excellent
		advocate's CDIO efforts.		programme or community.	community driven by initiatives.	widespread positive change within PolyCC community.
5. Sustainability of Initiatives	4	No sustained efforts; contributions were one-off or short-term.	Minimal sustainability; efforts lacked continuity.	Some sustained initiatives with moderate continuity.	Strong sustainability; initiatives continued over several years.	Highly sustainable contributions; initiatives embedded in institutional practices and policies.
6. Engagement with Wider CDIO Network	3	No engagement with external institutions or CDIO networks.	Minimal engagement; attended events without active participation.	Some engagement; participated in collaborations or forums occasionally.	Strong engagement; active collaborations with external or international CDIO networks.	Outstanding engagement; key figure in fostering collaborations and representing PolyCC at international CDIO forums.

5C. DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED - CDIO ADVOCATE AWARD (POLYCC)

Criterion	Suggested Supporting Documents
1. Personal Contribution to CDIO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Memo assigning leadership role- Meeting minutes showing CDIO directives- Organisational chart showing contribution
2. Innovation in Pedagogy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lesson plans/materials with novel strategies- Photos/videos of innovative classroom practices- Student feedback
3. Mentoring & Sharing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Invitations to mentor colleagues/students- Certificates of appreciation- Photos of mentoring sessions
4. Impact on PolyCC Community	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Testimonials from colleagues/students- Feedback forms- Reports of improvements in practices due to advocate's efforts
5. Sustainability of Initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual reports showing continuity- Memos about embedding initiatives into policies- Photos/videos of ongoing activities
6. Engagement with Wider CDIO Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Invitations to international/national CDIO events- Photos of external collaborations- MOUs/MOAs with external parties

Note: Other relevant supporting documents can be considered.

5D. TABLE OF SUMMARY TO BE SUBMITTED BY APPLICANT - CDIO ADVOCATE AWARD (POLYCC)

Criterion	Explanation Based on the Project	List of Supporting Documents Attached
1. Personal Contribution to CDIO		
2. Innovation in Pedagogy		
3. Mentoring & Sharing		
4. Impact on PolyCC Community		
5. Sustainability of Initiatives		
6. Engagement with Wider CDIO Network		